

Today's Topics:

Last 3 Month's call signs progress...

rec.radio.shortwave "invite"

rec.scanners

WEFAX 105 Uploaded!!

What about for us SWL's ? Re: Tuning dipoles and antennas.

Date: Thu, 14 Dec 89 07:58:24 CST

From: rlwest@flop2.csc.ti.com (Bob West, WA8YCD)

Subject: Last 3 Month's call signs progress...

Message-ID: <8912141410.AA10035@ti.com>

We typically see "Call Signs issues as of ...[date]..." but here's a little compilation of the past three months' listings. SOURCES: WorldRadio, The W5YI Report, The ARRL Letter, (and ultimately, the FCC)

The ones I have downloaded/edited from The ARRL Letter are probably OK, but please forgive any typos in the ones I entered by hand...

cut along the dotted line

.....
FCC ISSUED CALL SIGN UPDATE

Group A- Extra

	09/01	10/01	11/01
1	NY1M	NY1Y	NZ1P
2	WS2R	WT2I	WU2H
3	NW3C	NW3P	NX3G
4	AB4QF	AB4QX	AB4RM
5	AA5NR	AA5OF	AA5OQ
6	AA6QE	AA6QX	AA6SA
7	AA7BR	AA7BX	AA7CF
8	WU8Z	WV8T	WX8A
9	WK9E	WK9P	WM9F
0	WW0G	WX0B	WX0Y

Group B-Advanced

	09/01	10/01	11/01
1	KC1QF	KC1QM	KC1QW
2	KE2PD	KE2PK	KE2QE
3	KD3OS	KD3PD	KD3PV
4	KM4XI	KM4YQ	KM4ZZ
5	KG5XY	KG5YR	KG5ZR
6	KJ6ZE	KK6AG	KK6BZ
7	KF7WI	KF7XB	KF7XY

8 KF8BE KF8BQ KF8CE
9 KE9SB KE9SI KE9ST
0 WF0EV KF0FO KF0GC

Group C-Tech/Gen

	09/01	10/01	11/01
1	N1GXH	N1GZF	N1HBP
2	N2JVZ	N2JXX	N2KAM
3	N3HLK	N3HNQ	N3HP0
4	N4WTV	N4VZL	N4XHB
5	N5PDG	N5PHT	N5PNP
6	N6WET	N6WKU	N6WUU
7	N7NLL	N7NPO	N7NTQ
8	N8LGA	N8LIH	N8LMP
9	N9IVP	N9IXW	N9JAI
0	N0JZG	N0KBX	N0LFA

Group D--Novice

	09/01	10/01	11/01
1	KA1UJF	KA1UMA	KA1UQD
2	KB2IND	KB2IPO	KB2ISS
3	KA3VCY	KA3VFA	KA3VHS
4	KC4MFK	KC4MPI	KC4MZT
5	KB5KMF	KB5KRX	KB5KXU
6	KC6FOP	KC6FYH	KC6GQ0
7	KB7I0C	KB7ISH	KB7IWN
8	KB8IBG	KB8IEF	KB8IIT
9	KB9DIU	KB9DKQ	KB9DNF
0	KB0FDO	KB0FGF	KB0FJN

Date: 14 Dec 89 01:20:06 GMT
From: philmtl!philabs!ttidca!sorgatz@uunet.uu.net (Avatar)
Subject: rec.radio.shortwave "invite"
Message-ID: <8460@ttidca.TTI.COM>

In article <4171@amelia.nas.nasa.gov> chguest@pioneer.arc.nasa.gov (Charles J. Guest) writes:

+
+Phil, (and everyone else),
+
+My understanding was that rec.radio.shortwave would be a place
+for anyone interested in the monitoring hobbies to come for
+discussion. There have already been several articles on jammers,
+{and so on he writes}+
+Come on over.....we would love to have you if you are interested in

+these topics.

+

Yeah, right. Just remember that if you dare to remind anyone of the facts that surround the 7.1-7.3MHz area that they will brand you as some kind of a net.looney. Especially if you happen to know more about it than some dweeb who's an officer in their SWL club!

For those of you that may have missed it, I'm still waiting to hear from ole-whatshisname, the executive-VP who thinks that 40 meters should be taken away from Hams and given to the broadcasters exclusively..I dared him to prove my points wrong or apologise. A small number of assistant-dweebs heeded him to ignore the challenge - if he does just that it will prove my point about such people: THEY ARE ALL TALK AND KNOW NOTHING!

I had the nerve to tell a story about a neighbor of mine (who wound up in jail for being an idiot and trying to tear down my antenna!) who seemed to think his rights to listen to foreign broadcasts in the 7.1-7.3 area were of greater importance than my rights to transmit there. Well, it ain't so..it never was and the history of '200 Meters and Down' is fairly lost on a large portion of the vocal ones on .shortwave. I SURMISE THEY CANNOT READ BOOKS, they only read the 'Net and their club newsletters!

So fellow Hams, beware! Some of the inhabitants of rec.radio.shortwave are not only way-ignorant of radio history/laws/etc...they are quite ANTI-HAM!

In short, the 'invite' does not apply to everyone..only those that will either _be quiet!_, or tolerate abuse..neither of which appeals to me.

(and you spineless wimps that have advised me to "be nicer to avoid flames" can kiss my ass! Such pansy-tactics are unnecessary, the guy had it coming! And besides..I'm right in this case..go look it up!)

--

```
-Avatar-> (aka: Erik K. Sorgatz) KB6LUY          +-----+
Citicorp(+)TTI          *-----> panic trap; type = N+1 *
3100 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90405    +-----+
{csun,philabs,psivax,pyramid,quad1,rdlvax,retix}!ttidca!sorgatz **
```

--

```
-Avatar-> (aka: Erik K. Sorgatz) KB6LUY          +-----+
Citicorp(+)TTI          *-----> panic trap; type = N+1 *
3100 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90405    +-----+
{csun,philabs,psivax,pyramid,quad1,rdlvax,retix}!ttidca!sorgatz **
```

Date: 13 Dec 89 22:14:51 GMT
From: cadnetix.COM!cadnetix!rusty@uunet.uu.net (Rusty Carruth)
Subject: rec.scanners
Message-ID: <10559@cadnetix.COM>

In article <37046@apple.Apple.COM> chuq@Apple.COM (Chuq Von Rospach) writes:
>...

<comments about flames from certain people about scanner folks>

>... (This is, in fact, one reason why rec.radio.shortwave
>was created was to get away from just this attitude (I know it's just a few
>hams, but it certainly doesn't make me want to learn code any time soon...)

I would like to take this opportunity to publically apologize to Chuq,
Mike Owen, and all others who may have been offended by the scanner
flames. I'm sorry that some hams here on usenet seem to flame first
and think later (this *is* usenet, after all...). Most of the folks
I know of here on r.h-r either welcome the 'non-ham-related' postings
or they are neutral about them. (Considering how far afield some of our
discussions go, 'ham-related' seems to be pretty broad anyway... :-)

>>But
>>if this net is for ham radio, that's what its postings should
>>contain.
>
>Come join us over in the other group. We'll happily make you welcome.

Actually, some hams enjoy the non-ham-related postings, and welcome them.

---Join the usenet un-net, 28.410 and/or 28.390(+/-) 1600Z to 1800Z saturdays!
Rusty Carruth. Radio: N7IKQ ^^ or later :-)
DOMAIN: rusty@cadnetix.com UUCP:{uunet,boulder}!cadnetix!rusty
home: POB. 461, Lafayette CO 80026

Date: 14 Dec 89 12:01:21 GMT
From: hfsi!bower@uunet.uu.net (Michael Bower Comm.)
Subject: WEFAX 105 Uploaded!!
Message-ID: <353@hfsi.UUCP>

In article <2826@cbnewsj.ATT.COM> newsman@cbnewsj.ATT.COM (john.ferro..jr) writes:
>In article <1109@unsvax.NEVADA.EDU>, storkus@arrakis.nevada.edu (Mike Storke
(N7MSD)) writes:
>
>
>Mike,

>Could you please send me a copy of WEFAX 105. Would this get to me
>through E-mail?

>

Mike, sorry about having to use the net but my e-mail bounced.

Could you send this to me as well via e-mail (uuencoded?)?

Thanks in advance.

Michael Bower - N4NMR

uunet!hfsi!bower

703-827-3559 (o) 703-435-5081 (h)

Honeywell Federal Systems Inc.

7900 Westpark Dr. - MS 1007

McLean, VA 22102

Date: 14 Dec 89 12:28:09 GMT

From: kgreer@mcnc.org (Ken Greer)

Subject: What about for us SWL's ? Re: Tuning dipoles and antennas.

Message-ID: <1517@speedy.mcnc.org>

In article <3279@kd4nc.UUCP> ke4zv@kd4nc.UUCP (Gary Coffman) writes:

>

To "match" the antenna to the receiver,

>you use a matching network to transform the impedance at the antenna

>terminals to the 50 ohms required by most modern high grade receivers.

>A device that can combine both of these functions in one box is called

>a random wire tuner. To adjust it you simply tweak it for the loudest

>signal at the frequency you're using. Simple no?

>

>73 Gary KE4ZV

Would someone mind emailing me their recommendation for a good antenna tuner and why they think it is better than some other one? Also, which ones, if any should be avoided. This is for swl'ing ... after 15 years absence, I think I will start back up again. I never used one in the past, but always got that feeling in the pit of my stomach that I was missing countries that others were getting.

Will the tuners work with dipoles as well, or are they mainly suited for long-wires?

Question about grounding: Just about every antenna-making tutorial says to ground to a water-pipe ("no, not the plastic pipe kind") or similar. If a receiver is powered through a 3-conductor power cord, would the antenna braid/shield (in coax,e.g.) automatically be connected to a "good" ground, assuming that the house electrical wiring is properly installed? Doesn't the chassis frame give you pretty much the same thing, since the ground wire in the home wiring goes back to ground anyway at the breaker box/service drop?

Kim L. Greer
Duke University Medical Center
Div. Nuclear Medicine POB 3949
Durham, NC 27710 919-681-2711x223

try: klg@orion.mc.duke.edu
...!mcnc!ecsgate!dukeac!klg
fax: 919-681-5636

End of INFO-HAMS Digest V89 Issue #1017
